

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/091,141	03/04/2002	Onn Haran	032433.0003.UTL	5152	
7	590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER		
Mark M Friedman			GREY, CHRISTOPHER P		
Dr. Mark Fried	man Ltd				
c/o Discovery Dispatch			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
9003 Florin Way			2616		
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary				·		
		10/091,141	HARAN ET AL.			
	omoc Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Christopher P. Grey	2616			
Period fo		appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the may ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	March 2002.				
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
	Claim(s) <u>28-40</u> is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) 10-27 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/are	· (A) <u>—</u>	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · ·	<u> </u>			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap	oplication No			
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachms-	*/~)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/091,141 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to due to the following:

Fig 1, 3 and 6 are handwritten.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pearce et al. (US 20020110155), hereinafter referred to as Pearce.

<u>Claim 1</u> Pearce discloses discovering the first node by the central controller (paragraph 0097);

Pearce discloses synchronizing the internal clock of the first node to the internal clock of the central controller (paragraph 0079);

Pearce discloses transmitting uplink data from the first node to the central controller in response to transmission authorization sent by the central controller to the first node (paragraph 0089, demand signal and feedback).

Claim 2 Pearce discloses measuring a round trip delay from the central controller to the first node (paragraph 0101).

Pearce discloses transmitting downlink data from the central controller to the first node (paragraph 0101, the master then issues a MAT).

Application/Control Number: 10/091,141 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearce (US 20020110155) in view of Matsumoto et al. (US 6711264), hereinafter referred to as Matsumoto

<u>Claim 3</u> Pearce does not specifically disclose encrypting downlink data.

Matsumoto discloses encrypting downlink data (Col 3 lines 1-12).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the encryptor disclosed within Elliot, into the Central controller as disclosed by Pearce. The motivation for this combination is to secure the transmission of data.

Claim 4, 5 Pearce does not specifically disclose the step of the first node periodically sending a different encryption key to the central controller, wherein the step of encrypting the downlink data comprises the step of encrypting the downlink data with the encryption key as received by the central controller from the first node.

Matsumoto discloses the step of the first node periodically sending a different encryption key to the central controller, wherein the step of encrypting the downlink data comprises the step of encrypting the downlink data with the encryption key as received by the central controller from the first node (Col 2 lines 11-25 and Col 3 lines 1-20 and Col 6 lines 29-35).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the encryptor and key updating means as disclosed by Matsumoto, within the controller as disclosed by Elliot. The motivation for this modification is for a constant update of a key and secure transmission of data.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,141 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Claim 6, 7 Pearce discloses the step of detecting, by the central controller, connection failure between the central controller and the first node (paragraph 0035). Furthermore, the system allows for routing, where any device on the routing path is able to detect a fault and recover. Fault detection is very common and well acknowledged within the art.

<u>Claim 8</u> Pearce discloses the downlink data and the uplink data being transmitted using IEEE 802.3 Ethernet standard packets (paragraph 0080).

<u>Claim 9</u> Pearce discloses the network being a passive optical network (paragraph 0028)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 28-40 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 10-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Grey whose telephone number is (571)272-3160. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Grey

Examiner

Art Unit 2616

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Chow Ti Nferan